

## LABOUR DEPARTMENT

## Order

The 4th November, 1982

No. ID/GGN/76/82/49872.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Jatan Mishra and the Management of the M/s Special Steel Forging Pvt. Ltd. Plot, No. 84, Delhi Road, Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Jatan Mishra was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/136/82/49879.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Workman Shri Ram Sumer and the management of the M/s Hindustan Machines & Castings, Plot No. 36, Sector 27-A, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing:

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Sumer was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/80-82/49907.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Murari and the Management of the M/s Carbon Industries, Daultabad Road, Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing:

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Murari was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/141/82/49914.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Saran Dass and the management of the M/s Anand Synthetics Pvt. Ltd., 14/2, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing:

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour

Court, Faridabad constituted.—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Saran Das was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

**No. ID/FD/222/82/49921.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Om Parkash and the management of M/s Jai Hind Investment and Industries Pvt. Ltd. Plot, No. 135, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing:

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matter specified below being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Om Parkash was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 3rd November, 1982

**No. ID/FD/149/82/49648.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Darshan Lal and the management of M/s. Amar Pali Boutique Private Limited Plot No. 27, Sector 27-C, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana, considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government Notification No. 11395-G-Lab 57/11245 dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254 dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Darshan Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

V. S. CHAUDHRI,

Deputy Secretary to Government, Haryana,  
Labour Departments.

CO-OPERATION DEPARTMENT  
The 4th November, 1982

**No. 10167-C-IV-82/38625.**—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to nominate the Regional Director Regional Co-operative Development Corporation, Chandigarh as a special invitee for the State level Co-ordination Committee for reviewing the progress and studying problems/difficulties in the implementation of World Bank Co-operative Storage project which was constituted,—*vide* this Department Notification No. 5863-C-IV-78/30031, dated 2nd November, 1978.

KULWANT. SINGH,

Financial Commissioner & Secretary to Government,  
Haryana, Co-operation Department.

UJINA DIVERSION DRAIN CIRCLE NO. II. GURGAON  
CORRECTION SLIP

The 30th October, 1982

**No. 19452-60/2L.**—In the Gazette Notification for acquiring land u/s 6 for Construction Nuh Protection Bund RD9-2290 metres in village Nuh in tehsil Nuh, district Gurgaon as published,—*vide* this office notification No. 15478/2L dated 27th August, 1982, Killa No. 23 of Rectangle No. 63 of village Nuh be read as Killa No. 13 of Rectangle No. 63 of village Nuh.

O. P. SEHGAL,  
Superintending Engineer,  
Ujina Diversion Drain Circle No. II.  
Gurgaon.